Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

\boxtimes	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	09-0826/2		Introd	duction Num	ber S	B-051	
	al discretion in	n certain John I Doe proceedin		s and the p	rovision of attorn	ey represe	entation o	of state
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fisco Indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Reven Decrea Reven	ase Existing	to ab	ase Costs sorb withir Yes ease Cost	n agency	
	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive Mandat	3. Increasory Permis 4. Decreas	ssive 🔲 Mar	Governdatory C	s of Local rnment Un owns [ounties [chool [istricts	its Affect Village Others WTCS District	Cities
	Sources Affe		PRS S	EG 🔲 SI	Affected C	h. 20 App	ropriatio	ns
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву	Α	uthorized	Signature			Date
DOC/	Sue Loniello	(608) 240-552	4 F	lobert Marg	olies (608) 240-5	5056		2/26/2009

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOC 2/26/2009

LRB Number 09-0826/2	Introduction Number SB-0	Estimate Type	Original							
Description Judicial discretion in certain John Doe proceedings and the provision of attorney representation of state										
employees at John Doe proceedings										

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Currently, when an inmate files a John Doe petition alleging that a crime has been committed by a correctional employee, a John Doe proceeding may be convened by the judge. The employee and any other witnesses produced by the inmate are required to appear in court. If the employee seeks legal counsel, the employee would likely have to personally pay for those costs. This could also result in the Department having to pay overtime to another employee to maintain staffing of a post while the employee or other staff witnesses are in court for the John Doe proceeding.

This bill will allow law enforcement and the district attorney to investigate an alleged crime and make a determination if a crime was committed prior to involvement of the courts, which would likely reduce the court time required for John Doe proceedings. The bill would also likely reduce the amount of time inmates and staff would spend outside the institution if it is determined that there is not probable cause that a crime was committed, which could reduce potential staff overtime and costs to transport inmates to the court. The Department's legal counsel workload could also be reduced if the investigation determines that the allegations are baseless, resulting in fewer John Doe proceedings.

Although this bill could reduce the Department workload and costs related to the transport of inmate and staff witnesses and staff monitoring of John Doe hearings, the Department is unable to predict the number of complaints filed or how many of those complaints will be found baseless before a court hearing is held. As a result, a fiscal impact cannot be estimated.

There is no savings or cost increases associated with local jails at this time.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications